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Abstract

Objective. This study describes the clinical data of adult patients who underwent Fine Needle Aspiration Biopsy (FNAB) of thyroid nodule(s) with a cytologic diagnosis of Atypia or Follicular Lesion of Undetermined Significance (AUS or FLUS) at St. Luke’s Medical Center from January 2012 to October 2013.

Methodology. Adult patients who underwent FNAB of the thyroid nodule with a cytologic diagnosis of AUS or FLUS were studied retrospectively using the ultrasound result, initial consultation form and operative techniques of these patients. The cytologic and histopathologic diagnoses were retrieved through the electronic Healthcare-Results Management System.

Results and Conclusion. A third (34%) of the patients with a cytologic diagnosis of AUS or FLUS (8.9%) underwent surgery. Of the 68 patients who underwent surgery, 44 were benign and 24 were malignant with a malignancy rate of 35.3%. Preoperatively, there were no ultrasound characteristics or microscopic descriptions significantly associated with malignancy. The recommendation of the Bethesda System to do a repeat FNAB in these thyroid nodules should, therefore, be reconsidered.
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INTRODUCTION

Thyroid nodules are commonly encountered in clinical practice. The estimated prevalence, using thyroid palpation for diagnosis is 3-7%.1 In the Philippines, the estimated prevalence of nodular goiter is 4%.2 Thyroid nodules are more common in women, in the elderly, in those with iodine deficiency, and in those with history of radiation exposure.1 They can either be benign or malignant. Thus, it is importance to exclude malignancy in newly diagnosed thyroid nodules.

The evaluation of a thyroid nodule begins with a complete history, physical examination and thyroid sonography focusing on the thyroid gland and cervical lymph nodes. Factors suggestive of an increased risk of malignancy have been enumerated in previous studies.1,3

Fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNAB) is the most accurate and cost-effective method for evaluating thyroid nodules.1 The results of FNAB are critical in deciding whether to manage the nodule(s) medically or surgically. FNAB decreases the rate of unnecessary operations in patients with benign nodules and helps to direct patients positive for malignancy to the appropriate definitive surgical procedure. Guidelines have been issued on how to select thyroid nodules for FNAB.1,4

Traditionally, FNAB results are divided into five (5) categories: non-diagnostic, benign, follicular lesions or indeterminate cytology, suspicious for malignancy, and malignant.1 However, classification and reporting systems for thyroid FNAB results are not standardized across institutions.

The classification of “indeterminate” lesions in thyroid cytology has long been a source of confusion for both pathologists and clinicians. There is great variability in the way cytopathologists identify, interpret and report such aspirates, especially when the uncertainty relates to follicular lesions. Clinicians have tended to lump interpretations such as “follicular lesion,” “atypical,” “follicular neoplasm,” “indeterminate for neoplasia,” and “suspicious for malignancy” into a single “indeterminate
for malignancy” category for conceptual and even management purposes. Follow-up studies, however, have shown significantly different clinical outcomes for distinct subcategories within the generic indeterminate category.

Thus in 2007, a revised classification system for reporting thyroid FNAB cytology was proposed at the National Cancer Institute State of Science Conference. This led to the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology, which provides a revised six-tiered reporting system, with an emphasis on the risk of malignancy associated with each category (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic Category</th>
<th>Risk of Malignancy (%)</th>
<th>Usual Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Non diagnostic or Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>1-4%</td>
<td>Repeat FNAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyst fluid only</td>
<td></td>
<td>with ultrasound guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtually acellular specimen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (obscuring blood, clotting artifact, etc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Benign</td>
<td>0-3%</td>
<td>Clinical follow-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent with a benign follicular nodule (includes adenomatous nodule, colloid nodule, etc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent with lymphocytic (Hashimoto) thyroiditis in the proper clinical context</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent with granulomatous (subacute) thyroiditis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Atypia on Undetermined Significance or Follicular Lesion of Undetermined Significance</td>
<td>5-15%</td>
<td>Repeat FNAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Follicular Neoplasm or Suspicious for a Follicular Neoplasm</td>
<td>15-30%</td>
<td>Surgical lobectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specify of Hurte cell (oncocytic type)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. Suspicious for Malignancy</td>
<td>60-75%</td>
<td>Near-total or surgical lobectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious for papillary carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious for medullary carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious for metastatic carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious for lymphoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI. Malignant</td>
<td>97-99%</td>
<td>Near total thyroidectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary thyroid carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly differentiated carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medullary thyroid carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated (anaplastic) carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma with mixed features (specify)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is recommended that the patients in the AUS or FLUS category undergo a repeat FNAB at an appropriate interval. In most cases, a repeated FNAB results in a more definite interpretation, which may decrease the risk of unnecessary surgery. However, controversy in the management and risk for malignancy varies among institutions.

The risk of malignancy for an AUS or FLUS nodule is difficult to ascertain because only a minority of cases in this category undergo surgery, for which a definite histopathologic diagnosis can be made. The extrapolated estimate for risk for malignancy is estimated at 5% to 15%.

A cytologic reading of AUS or FLUS is a controversial category in thyroid fine-needle aspiration, not only for its questionable clinical utility, but also for its expression of uncertainty.

**OBJECTIVES**

1. To describe the clinical data of patients who underwent FNAB of thyroid nodule with a cytologic diagnosis of AUS or FLUS at St. Luke’s Medical Center from January 2012 to October 2013 in terms of the following:
   a. Demographic features (age, sex)
   b. Sonographic characteristics of thyroid nodule (size, character, echogenicity, margins, calcification and vascularity)
   c. Cytologic characteristics or sub-category (scenarios for which an AUS interpretation is appropriate as stated in the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology)

2. To determine the outcomes of these patients in terms of the following:
   a. Cytologic sub-category of atypia according to the Bethesda System
   b. Management of these patients (follow-up, repeat FNAB or surgery)
   c. Repeat cytosolic or final histopathologic result

3. To compare the sonographic characteristics and cytologic features of an AUS or FLUS thyroid nodule with malignant histopathology against those with benign results.

**METHODOLOGY**

A descriptive cross sectional study was conducted to determine the clinical characteristics, management and outcome of adult patients who underwent FNAB of thyroid nodule, with a cytologic diagnosis of atypia or follicular lesion of undetermined significance.

The census of the Pathology Department and Diabetes, Thyroid and Endocrine Center of St. Luke’s Medical Center, Quezon City and Global City, from January 2012 to October 2013 were reviewed. The cytologic diagnosis of
those who underwent FNAB of thyroid nodule was determined through the electronic Healthcare-Results Management System of St. Luke’s Medical Center. Based on the microscopic description stated in the report, one cytopathologist subcategorized the form of atypia, using the most common scenarios as mentioned in the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology (Table 2). The corresponding ultrasound of the thyroid, initial consultation form and operative techniques were also reviewed. When the sonographic characteristics of the thyroid nodule are not completely reported, one sonologist reviewed the ultrasound images. Patients whose records were incomplete were contacted by telephone to supply the missing data. Those who could not be contacted were considered lost to follow-up.

**Table 2. Subcategory of Atypia According to the Bethesda System for Reporting Thyroid Cytopathology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Prominent population of microfollicles in an aspirate that does not otherwise fulfill the criteria for “follicular neoplasm/ suspicious for follicular neoplasm”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Predominance of Hurthle cells in a sparsely cellular aspirate with scant colloid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Interpretation of follicular cell atypia is hindered by sample preparation artifact, eg, air-drying artifact or clotting artifact with crowding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Moderately marked or markedly cellular sample is composed of a virtually exclusive population of Hurthle cells, yet the clinical setting suggests a benign Hurthle cell nodule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Focal features suggestive of papillary carcinoma in an otherwise predominantly benign-appearing sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cyst-lining cells that may appear atypical owing to the presence of nuclear grooves, prominent nucleoli, elongated nuclei and cytoplasm, and/or intranuclear cytoplasmic inclusions in an otherwise predominantly benign-appearing sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Minor population of follicular cells show nuclear enlargement, often accompanied by prominent nucleoli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Atypical lymphoid infiltrate, but the degree of atypia is insufficient for the general category “suspicious for malignancy”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Not otherwise categorized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To be included in the study, the subject must be between 18-85 years old, who underwent fine-needle aspiration biopsy of the thyroid nodule and have a cytologic diagnosis of atypia or follicular lesion of undetermined significance, as defined by the Bethesda System proposed by the National Cancer Institute (NCI). This included FNABs interpreted as “atypical cells,” “atypia of undetermined significance,” “follicular lesion of undetermined significance,” and “cellular follicular lesion.”

A standardized template was used for data collection. The template included the following independent variables: age, gender and sonographic features of the thyroid nodule (size, character, margins, and presence of calcifications and vascularity). Outcome variables were also gathered such as the cytologic diagnosis and subcategory of the biopsied nodule, management of the patient (whether repeat biopsy, surgery or follow-up was done); and the cytologic (for those who underwent repeat biopsy) or histopathologic (for those who underwent surgery) results. On final pathology, only the index nodule was considered as benign or malignant.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the demographic and clinical data of the patients. Description of qualitative data was done by the use of percentages. Quantitative data was described using mean and standard deviation.

Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors or variables predictive of malignancy. The odds ratio of each independent variable was estimated to determine the degree of relationship of the independent variable and malignancy. A p-value of < 0.05 means that the independent variable has a significant contribution in predicting malignancy.

Given that the odds that a nodule with an AUS or FLUS cytologic category will be benign is 11.96, and with an R² of 0.38, a sample size of 68 has a calculated power of 94%.

**RESULTS**

**Study Population**

Among the 2247 patients who underwent fine-needle aspiration biopsy of the thyroid nodule at the St. Luke’s Medical Center from January 2012 to October 2013, a total of 200 (8.9%) had a cytologic diagnosis of atypia or follicular lesion of undetermined significance.

One hundred eighty-two (91%) are females while only 18 (9%) are males. The average age of the patients is 47.7 ± 14.31 years.

Of the 200 patients, 25 (12.5%) underwent repeat fine needle-aspiration biopsy, while a total of 68 (34%) underwent surgery. Fifty-two patients were reassessed with a clinical examination and an ultrasound (Figure 1).
Table 3 shows that among those who underwent repeat fine-needle-aspiration biopsy (n=25), 10 (40%) had benign results, 12 (48%) were AUS or FLUS and 3 (12%) were consistent with malignancy (papillary carcinoma). Surgery was performed in 3 of the 25 patients, after a repeat biopsy.

### Patients who underwent Surgery

The average age of those patients who underwent surgery after an FNAB result of AUS or FLUS is 47.7 ± 13.6 years. Fifty-six (82.4%) of the subjects are female. Of which, 37 nodules are benign while 19 are malignant. In terms of sonographic characteristics, majority (64.4%) of the nodules are solid and the remainder are complex. The size of the nodules ranges from 0.6 cm to 6.8 cm. A third (33.9%) of the nodules biopsied are greater than 3 cm. Only 6.5% of the nodules are sub-centimeter in size. Half of the nodules are hyperechoic, 40% have mixed echogenicity while only 10% are hypoechoic. The majority (83.3%) of the nodules have well-defined margins. Seventy-nine percent of the nodules have no microcalcifications, while 65.9% of them are avascular (Table 4).

Under the cytologic subcategory of atypia, 55 % of the nodules fall under category 5, due to focal features suggestive of papillary carcinoma; while 44.8% of them are under category 1, due to prominent microfollicles.

### Benign Final Histopathologic Result

Among the 68 patients who underwent surgery, forty-four (64.7%) had benign results on final histopathology. Most are females (84.1%) with an average age of 46 years old ± 12.8 years.

By sonology, most nodules were more than 3cm in size (41%), solid (57.9%), hyperechoic (48.5%), with well-defined margins (80.6%), with no micro calcifications.
Management and Malignancy Rate of Atypical Thyroid Nodules

Armi Dianne Carlos, et al

Vol. 29 No. 1 May 2014

(93.5%) or intra-lesional vascularity (70.4%). The majority of the nodules fall under the 5th subcategory of atypia (51.2%) (Table 4).

Malignant Final Histopathologic Result

Among those who underwent surgery, twenty-four (35.3%) had malignant results on final histopathology. Nineteen (79.2%) are females with an average age of 50.8 years old ± 14.8 years.

The majority of the nodules are solid (76.2%) by sonology measuring 1-2cm in its widest diameter (34.8%), hyperechoic (52.9%), with well-defined margins (88.2%), without microcalcifications (52.9%) or intra-lesional vascularity (57.1%). Fifteen (62.5%) were categorized under the 5th category of atypia, while the remaining 9 (37.5%) fall under the 1st category (Table 4).

Table 5 shows the histopathology results of those who underwent surgery. Forty-four (64.7%) of the nodules were benign, with the majority classified as adenomatous colloid goiter (54.5%). The rest of the findings are as follows: Hashimoto’s thyroiditis (6.8%), lymphocytic thyroiditis (6.8%), nodular hyperplasia (15.9%) and follicular adenoma (15.9%). There were 24 cases of histologically documented malignancies: 9 (37.5%) micropapillary carcinomas (size < 1cm), 11 (36.7%) papillary carcinomas, 2 (8.3%) follicular carcinomas, 1 (4%) medullary carcinoma and 1 (4%) Hurtle cell carcinoma. The overall malignancy rate is 35.3%.

As seen in Table 6, in the presence of other independent variables or not. There is a trend that bigger nodules are more associated with malignancy than hyperechoic or with mixed echogenicity are more associated with malignancy than hyperechoic nodules. Those with well-defined margins are more correlated with benign histopathologic results. Moreover,
the presence of microfollicles (subcategory 1) is more associated with malignancy than focal features of papillary carcinoma (subcategory 5). On the other hand, the odds ratio for age is near 1, which implies that age is not predictive of whether a nodule is benign or malignant.

**DISCUSSION**

This report presents the clinical data of 200 patients who underwent fine-needle aspiration biopsy of thyroid nodule with a cytologic diagnosis of atypia or follicular cells of undetermined significance at St. Luke’s Medical Center from January 2012 to October 2013. The institutional experience in the management of patients with FNABs reported as AUS or FLUS was described.

Although the Bethesda System advocates the creation of a category termed AUS or FLUS, it also recommends that this category be used sparingly, limiting its use to approximately 7% of all thyroid FNA interpretations. The recommended management for an initial AUS interpretation is clinical correlation and, for most cases, a repeat FNAB at an interval of about 3-6 months.5

In this study, the prevalence of AUS or FLUS is 8.9%. This is consistent with some reports, although data on the incidence of AUS or FLUS have been variable ranging from 3%-27% of aspirates. Rabagliia, et al. reported a 12% incidence of AUS or FLUS and postulated that its overuse may be a reflection of lack of confidence with the new diagnostic criteria in the early adoption period.9

A number of studies have described the management of AUS or FLUS nodules since the NCI recommendations were published. Chen et. al., reviewed the medical records of those who underwent FNAB10 and, of the 393 patients, 61 (16%) had AUS or FLUS. Only 56 had complete follow-up, of which 19 underwent surgery, 26 underwent repeat FNAB and 9 were observed by clinical follow-up without repeat FNAB. In a study by Nagarkati, et. al., a total of 203 patients of the 5391 FNABs performed met the diagnostic criteria for AUS or FLUS, 62% were sent directly to surgery, 25% had a repeat FNAB, and 13% were observed.11

Contrary to the suggested management of these patients, the majority of patients in this study were sent to surgery after an FNAB result of AUS or FLUS. One possible explanation for this preference for surgery maybe the unfamiliarity with NCI recommendations to repeat the FNAB. During the pre-Bethesda system, a cytologic diagnosis of indeterminate lesion warrants surgical excision. The new Bethesda category with terms “atypia” and “follicular lesion” may have been considered by the clinician as the “indeterminate lesion” of the more familiar pre-Bethesda category.

The malignancy rate in this study is 35.3%, comparable to the study done by Shi in New York, where they reported the risk of malignancy of 35%.7 But in Texas and Ohio, they reported a malignancy rate of 13 and 19% respectively.8,10 A study in South Korea reported a malignancy rate of 47%, while an academic institution in the US reported a malignancy rate of 48%.12,15

These reported rates are higher than the implied risk of malignancy (5-15%) adopted by the Bethesda System. These rates were extrapolated from 3 referral centers who were using a similar 6-tiered classification system long before the new system was introduced. The reported malignancy rates from the centers range from 19%-24%.16,17

The higher risk of malignancy in this study may be biased by the fact that only those patients who underwent surgery were studied. The patients who underwent repeat FNAB, who followed-up clinically and those who were lost to follow-up were excluded. Surgery was only performed in patients considered to be at high risk for malignancy. Thus, the calculated malignancy rate provides only an estimation of the true rate of malignancy, because not all of the patients with AUS/FLUS nodules underwent thyroidectomy for definitive diagnosis.

It is well-established that certain ultrasound characteristics of thyroid nodules, such as large size, hypoechogenicity, ill-defined margins, intra-lesional vascularity and presence of micro calcifications, have been associated with malignancy.2 A study in South Korea showed that in thyroid nodules with indeterminate cytology, ill-defined margins, microcalcifications and taller-than wide shape were features showing correlation with malignancy.13

In contrast, this study shows that ultrasound features such as echogeneity, margins and the presence of microcalcifications did not appear to differ between benign and malignant nodules. Only size and vascularity seems to be the significant predictors of malignancy in the presence of other variables in the model. However, if they are the sole independent variable for malignancy, the absence of vascularity and nodule size are not statistically significant.

For nodules with the subcategory of atypia, the presence of microfollicles (category 1) is more associated with malignancy than features of papillary carcinoma (category 5). The difference, however, is not statistically significant. This differs from previous studies showing a higher risk of malignancy in nuclear or cytologic features of papillary carcinoma.14 It is important to acknowledge that this study was based on retrospective review of primary pathology reports, without performing a slide review. The sub-classification of atypia was done by a cytopathologist based on the reported microscopic description of the original cytopathologist, reflecting a “real-world” working experience with this diagnostic category. As such, the varying reporting practices of individual cytopathologists may have adversely affected the power of the study to
detect the importance of various qualifiers in AUS or FLUS.

Essentially, there were no sonographic characteristics or cytologic features that would statistically associate a thyroid nodule with a cytologic diagnosis of AUS or FLUS with malignancy.

CONCLUSION

This report presents the clinical data of 200 patients who underwent fine-needle aspiration biopsy of thyroid nodule with a cytologic diagnosis of atypia or follicular cells of undetermined significance and comprises 8.9% of all FNABs done from January 2012 to October 2013.

One-third of patients with AUS or FLUS underwent surgery and only 12.5% underwent repeat FNAB as recommended by the new Bethesda system. Of the 68 patients who underwent surgery, 44 were benign and 24 were malignant with a malignancy rate of 35.3%. Statistically, there were no ultrasound characteristics or microscopic findings that are significantly associated with malignancy. The recommendation of the Bethesda System of doing a repeat FNAB in these patients should, therefore, be reconsidered.

LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Since most patients did not undergo surgery, some patients may have had thyroid malignancy but were not histologically diagnosed. Due to the relatively limited number of patients who underwent surgery and the limited time frame, the calculated malignancy rate provides only an estimation of the true rate of malignancy.

We recommend that those patients who opted for a more conservative management and those who underwent repeat FNAB be reevaluated to obtain a better estimate of the malignancy rate of thyroid nodules with a cytologic diagnosis of AUS or FLUS.

By comparing the data gathered by this study to those of the pre-Bethesda System period, the effect of the Bethesda System of classification in terms of management and histologic outcome can be determined.
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