INTRODUCTION

The American Psychiatric Association defines sexual dysfunction as a heterogeneous group of disorders that are typically characterized by a clinically significant disturbance in a person’s ability to respond sexually or to experience sexual pleasure.1 Sexual problems are common complications of individuals with diabetes in both men and women. Unfortunately, sexual health is an often neglected aspect in the management of diabetes mellitus.2-4 Most studies on sexual dysfunction involve men and erectile dysfunction which affects 60 to 86.1% of men with diabetes mellitus.3 In contrast, sexual dysfunction among women mostly includes problems in sexual desire, sexual satisfaction, orgasmic, lubrication and arousal disorder.7,8 Documented rates of sexual dysfunction among women with type 2 diabetes ranges from 25% to 88%.2,4-9 Though studies on sexual dysfunction are gradually increasing, there are currently no data that shows the prevalence of sexual dysfunction and the risk factors associated with it among Filipino women with diabetes mellitus.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study are to determine the prevalence and characterize the sexual dysfunction among premenopausal Filipino women with type 2 diabetes mellitus seen at the outpatient department of a tertiary hospital through the use of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) and identify factors that could be associated with sexual dysfunction.

ABSTRACT

Objective. This study aims to determine the prevalence of sexual dysfunction among premenopausal Filipino women with type 2 diabetes mellitus at the outpatient department of a tertiary hospital through the use of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) and identify factors that could be associated with sexual dysfunction.

Methodology. Seventy-five women with type 2 diabetes mellitus, aged 38 to 49 years old, received the FSFI questionnaire. Their age, history of hypertension, smoking habit, alcohol intake, body mass index, waist circumference, fasting blood sugar, HbA1c, creatinine, lipid profile, albuminuria or proteinuria, presence of microvascular complications such as diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy and nephropathy and their association with sexual dysfunction was determined.

Results. Seventy-two percent of the participants have sexual dysfunction scoring lowest in the lubrication, orgasm and pain domains. Age (p=0.016), a high body mass index (p=0.001), a fasting blood sugar above 100 mg/dl (p=0.006) and the presence of microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus namely, retinopathy (p=0.046) nephropathy (p=0.004) and neuropathy (p=0.001) were associated with sexual dysfunction.

Conclusion. The prevalence of sexual dysfunction is high among premenopausal Filipino women with type 2 diabetes mellitus, and is associated with age, a high body mass index, an uncontrolled fasting blood sugar and the presence of microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus.
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METHODOLOGY

Study design

This cross-sectional analytic study was conducted at the outpatient department of Makati Medical Center from October 2017 to January 2018.

Subjects included female Filipinos with type 2 diabetes mellitus, 30 to 50 years old, premenopausal, with a current heterosexual partner and has had at least 1 sexual contact in the past 4 weeks. They must have been able to read, comprehend and understand either Filipino or English and must have consented to join the study.

The diagnosis of diabetes mellitus is based on the Unit for Diabetes Philippines Clinical Practice Guidelines: Fasting blood sugar of ≥126 mg/dl; plasma glucose of ≥200 mg/dl 2 hours after an oral glucose tolerance test; random blood sugar >200 mg/dl with classic symptoms of hyperglycemia or hyperglycemic crisis.10

Premenopause, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) and International Menopause Society, is defined as the period that encompasses the entire female reproductive period up to the final menstrual period or prior to menopause.11

Subjects with the following were excluded: Type 1 diabetes mellitus, prediabetes (impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance), gestational diabetes, menopause, presence of sexual disorder before getting diabetes mellitus, existence of sexual disorder in patient’s spouse, known history of psychiatric illness, a history of mastectomy, total hysterectomy or current pregnancy.

Sampling method

This study utilized a purposive sampling method to achieve the minimum sample size. Purposive sampling is a non probability method wherein patients who consult at the outpatient department and fit the inclusion criteria were selected and enrolled once they have signed an informed consent.

Sample size and power calculation

With 53.6% prevalence of female sexual dysfunction,2 5% margin of error, 90% confidence interval, and 10% prediction of non-response or drop out, the computed sample size was 75 subjects.

Data gathering

Data gathering commenced once the participant is able to meet the inclusion criteria and has signed an informed consent. The participant’s age, smoking habit, history of alcohol consumption were determined. The participant’s height, weight, waist circumference, blood pressure were measured using a standard measuring device. The Body Mass Index (BMI) was determined by dividing weight (kg) by the height in meter squared (m²). Based on the WHO criteria for BMI cut-off, participants were classified as either underweight (BMI<18.5), normal (BMI 18.5-24.9) overweight (25-29.9), or obese (BMI>30).

The participants’ most recent fasting blood sugar (FBS), HbA1c, lipid profile, creatinine, and urinalysis were retrieved from their records. Their estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was determined using the CKD-EPI formula with the use of Calculate by QxMD software.

Previous studies have shown that the presence of metabolic syndrome is associated with sexual dysfunction as compared with matched control without metabolic syndrome.9,12 Based on the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel (NCEP ATP III 2005 revision) the presence of any three of the following criteria are considered for the diagnosis of metabolic syndrome for females; an FBS of greater than 100 mg/dl, triglyceride level of 150 mg/dl and above, high density lipoprotein (HDL) of less than 50 mg/dl, waist circumference greater than 35 inches, hypertension (systolic BP of above 130 mmHg or diastolic BP above 85 mmHg) or taking medications to control diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia or hypertension.13 Based on the 2018 American Diabetes Association Standards of Medical Care in diabetes, an HbA1c of above 7% is considered uncontrolled.14

Diabetic retinopathy was determined by reviewing patient’s chart for previous results of dilated fundoscopy. A dilated fundoscopy is the visualization of the patient’s retina done by an experienced ophthalmologist or optometrist which is standard of care and is recommended to be done at the time of diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus.14

Diabetic neuropathy was assessed using the 10-g Semmes-Weinstein monofilament test which was performed by the primary investigator. Participants were examined lying down, with their eyes closed. Using a 10-g monofilament, four sites (1\textsuperscript{st}, 3\textsuperscript{rd}, and 5\textsuperscript{th} metatarsal heads and plantar surface of distal hallux) were tested on each foot. Diabetic neuropathy is defined as the loss of the ability to detect the pressure at one or more site.15

Diabetic nephropathy was determined through the presence of albuminuria or proteinuria as determined by reviewing the participant’s record of urinalysis for proteinuria, a positive micral test, albumin to creatinine ratio of 30 and above, or a computed eGFR of less than 30 ml/min/1.73m² based on the CKD-Epi formula.

Determination of sexual dysfunction

Sexual dysfunction was measured using the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) self-administered questionnaire (Appendix A). The FSFI is a brief, multidimensional self-report questionnaire measure of sexual functioning in women. It was developed for the specific purpose of assessing six domains of sexual functioning among females namely desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction and pain during sexual intercourse.2 The minimum and maximum scores are 2 and 36 respectively (Appendix B). Women with a score under 26.55 are classified as presenting with sexual dysfunction.2,4,16 A Filipino version of the Female Sexual Function Index was translated and validated by Rillon-Tabil et al.17 Depending on the participant’s preference, either the English version or the Filipino version of the FSFI was used for this study (Figure 1). Participants were
Figure 1. Flow chart.

oriented that the questionnaire may contain delicate questions regarding sex. A pre-labeled FSFI questionnaire which corresponds to the participant’s identifier was handed to them and they were allowed to answer the questionnaire on their own and at their own pace.

Data processing and analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographics and clinical characteristics of the patients. Frequency and proportion were used for categorical variables and mean and standard deviation for interval/ratio variables. Unpaired sample T-test was used to determine the difference of means of those with sexual dysfunction against those without sexual dysfunction. Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test, whichever is applicable, was used for categorical variable. Simple logistic regression was performed with the sexual dysfunction as the dependent variable and other variables as the independent variables. Unpaired sample T-test was used to determine the difference of means of those with sexual dysfunction. Using Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test, the variables that were associated with sexual dysfunction were age (p=0.016), a high body mass index (p=0.001) and waist circumference above 35 inches. Eighty-eight percent that had an elevated LDL had a waist circumference above 35 inches. Eighty-eight percent that had an elevated LDL had a waist circumference above 35 inches. Eighty-eight percent had a BMI (obese) and 45% had an elevated low density lipoprotein (LDL). Forty-four percent had an elevated triglyceride, 75% had a low HDL and 56% had an elevated low density lipoprotein (LDL). Thirty-nine percent had an elevated triglyceride, 75% had a low HDL and 56% had an elevated low density lipoprotein (LDL). Forty-four percent were hypertensive, 20% were smokers and 24% were alcoholic beverage drinkers.

Seventy-two percent of the participants were found to have sexual dysfunction. Using Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test, the variables that were associated with sexual dysfunction were age (p=0.016), a high body mass index (p=0.001) and FBS of more than 100 mg/dl (p=0.006) (Table 1).

Table 1. Association of sexual dysfunction with clinical characteristics of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sexual dysfunction present (Std Dev) n= 54</th>
<th>Sexual dysfunction absent (Std Dev) n= 21</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age less than 45-year-old</td>
<td>17 (31.45)</td>
<td>13 (61.9)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 45 to 50-year-old</td>
<td>37 (68.52)</td>
<td>8 (38.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (normal)</td>
<td>7 (12.96)</td>
<td>11 (52.38)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (obese)</td>
<td>23 (42.59)</td>
<td>6 (28.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (obese)</td>
<td>24 (44.44)</td>
<td>4 (19.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference above 35 inches</td>
<td>28 (51.85)</td>
<td>6 (28.57)</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS above 100mg/dl</td>
<td>51 (94.44)</td>
<td>15 (71.43)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, above 7%</td>
<td>34 (62.96)</td>
<td>11 (52.38)</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride 150 mg/dl and above</td>
<td>24 (44.44)</td>
<td>5 (23.81)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL less than 50 mg/dl</td>
<td>43 (79.63)</td>
<td>13 (61.9)</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL 100 mg/dl and above</td>
<td>29 (53.7)</td>
<td>12 (57.14)</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With Hypertension</td>
<td>27 (50.0)</td>
<td>6 (28.57)</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>13 (24.07)</td>
<td>2 (9.52)</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic beverage drinker</td>
<td>15 (27.78)</td>
<td>3 (14.29)</td>
<td>0.219</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI - Body Mass Index, FBS - Fasting Blood Sugar, HDL - High Density Lipoprotein, LDL - Low Density Lipoprotein

RESULTS

All of the 75 participants recruited for this study were able to complete the questionnaire and included in the final analysis. Two participants (2.7%) opted to use the Filipino version of the FSFI. Participants were aged 38 to 49 years old with a mean age of 45. Sixty percent of the participants are in the 45 to 50-year-old age group with a mean age of 47, while those that were below 45 years old had a mean age of 42. Seventy-six percent had a high BMI and 45% had a waist circumference above 35 inches. Eighty-eight percent had an elevated LDL and 60% had an uncontrolled HbA1c. Thirty-nine percent had an elevated triglyceride, 75% had a low HDL and 56% had an elevated low density lipoprotein (LDL). Forty-four percent were hypertensive, 20% were smokers and 24% were alcoholic beverage drinkers.

Ethical considerations

The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Makati Medical Center. Participants were enrolled in the study after obtaining a written informed consent. Data gathered from this study are entered in conformance with the principles of confidentiality. Participants are anonymized and assigned to consecutive case numbers. Age and control number were used as participant identifiers in case report forms to serve as reference to source documents. Participants were oriented that the questionnaire used in this study may contain delicate questions regarding sex. Participants were oriented that they can withdraw and their data can be excluded from the study anytime.
Among those who were identified to have sexual dysfunction, the three domains that scored the lowest were lubrication, orgasm and pain (Table 2).

Using simple logistic regression to determine the individual effect of each variable and obtain the unadjusted odds ratio (OR), it was age (OR 3.53, 95% CI 1.23 – 10.12, \(p = 0.019\)), an overweight BMI (OR 6.02, 95% CI 1.63 – 22.23, \(p = 0.007\)), an obese BMI (OR 9.43, 95% CI 0.002 – 39.03, \(p = 0.002\)), an elevated FBS (OR 6.8, 95% CI 1.51 – 30.49, \(p = 0.012\)) and proteinuria (OR 12.73, 95% CI 1.38 – 98.13, \(p = 0.017\)) that showed a possible association with sexual dysfunction (Table 3).

Using multiple logistic regression, which accounts for the effect due to all the additional variables and determines the adjusted OR, it was having an overweight BMI (OR 6.73, 95% CI 1.07 – 42.27, \(p = 0.04\)) that showed a possible association with sexual dysfunction (Table 3).

Finally, using stepwise variable selection method to determine the significant factors associated with sexual dysfunction, it was a high BMI (OR 3.07, 95% CI 1.40 – 6.71, \(p = 0.005\)), and albuminuria or proteinuria (OR11.65, 95% CI 1.38 – 98.13, \(p = 0.024\)) that showed a possible association with sexual dysfunction (Table 4).

Using Chi-square / Fischer’s Exact Test, the presence of microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus such as retinopathy (\(p=0.046\)) nephropathy (\(p=0.004\)) and neuropathy (\(p=0.001\)) was associated with sexual dysfunction (Table 5).

### DISCUSSION

Sexual dysfunction is a common problem worldwide.\(^{18}\) In the Philippines where the prevailing attitude toward sex is mostly conservative, sexual dysfunction is not routinely discussed between patient and physician and is often neglected. A study done by Lewis in 2012 showed that more Filipino women have difficulties in lubrication (50%) and achieving orgasm (56%) as compared with women from other Asian countries.\(^{19}\)

Studies have shown that sexual dysfunction is more frequent in women with diabetes mellitus compared with control.\(^{5}\) However, data on sexual dysfunction

---

**Table 2.** Comparison of FSFI score of participants with and without sexual dysfunction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (Min to Max Score)</th>
<th>Sexual dysfunction present</th>
<th>Sexual dysfunction absent</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean score (Std Dev)</td>
<td>Mean score (Std Dev)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire (1.2 – 6.0)</td>
<td>2.89 (0.86)</td>
<td>4.02 (0.78)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arousal (0 – 6.0)</td>
<td>2.29 (1.62)</td>
<td>4.36 (1.25)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication (0 – 6.0)</td>
<td>2.16 (1.80)</td>
<td>4.61 (1.34)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orgasm (0 – 6.0)</td>
<td>2.067 (2.07)</td>
<td>4.99 (1.30)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction (0.8 – 6.0)</td>
<td>3.01 (2.12)</td>
<td>5.43 (1.17)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain (0 – 6.0)</td>
<td>2.037 (1.92)</td>
<td>4.61 (1.32)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14.46 (8.54)</td>
<td>28.02 (5.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Association of sexual dysfunction with participant demographics and metabolic factors using simple logistic regression and multiple logistic regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Unadjusted Odds Ratio (95% C.I.)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% C.I.)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age less than 45-year-old</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 45 to 50-year-old</td>
<td>3.53 (1.23 – 10.12)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>3.33 (0.73 – 15.12)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2.5 (0.84 – 7.41)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.66 (0.38 – 7.25)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>3.01 (0.62 – 14.70)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.33 (0.005 – 18.24)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholic beverage drinker</td>
<td>2.31 (0.59 – 8.99)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.37 (0.01 – 12.12)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (normal)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (overweight)</td>
<td>6.02 (1.63 – 22.23)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>6.73 (1.07 – 42.27)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (obese)</td>
<td>9.43 (0.002 – 39.03)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>9.1 (0.54 – 152.69)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference (35 inches and above)</td>
<td>2.62 (0.91 – 7.98)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>1.83 (0.17 – 20.16)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBS (above 100 mg/dl)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.51 – 30.49)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>2.04 (0.28 – 14.68)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (above 7%)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.82 – 3.63)</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>36.69 (0.15 – 103.38)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (150 mg/dl and above)</td>
<td>2.55 (0.82 – 7.99)</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>6.63 (0.53 – 140.13)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (less than 50 mg/dl)</td>
<td>2.405 (0.80 – 7.24)</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>12.59 (0.97 – 163.88)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (100 mg/dl and above)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.31 – 2.40)</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td>0.54 (0.07 – 3.17)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuminuria/Proteinuria</td>
<td>12.73 (1.38 – 102.03)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>19.25 (0.84 – 441.31)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4.** Association of sexual dysfunction with BMI and albuminuria/proteinuria using stepwise variable selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% C.I.)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>3.07 (1.40 – 6.71)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuminuria/Proteinuria</td>
<td>11.65 (1.38 – 98.13)</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** Association of sexual dysfunction with microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Sexual dysfunction present (Std Dev)</th>
<th>Sexual dysfunction absent (Std Dev)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>9 (16.67)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>21 (28.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephropathy</td>
<td>21 (38.89)</td>
<td>1 (4.76)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
among women with diabetes mellitus are conflicting. A major problem with published clinical studies assessing the effect of diabetes mellitus on sexuality in women is the inconsistency between study designs, making it difficult to directly compare across studies and draw firm conclusions.\textsuperscript{9,20} Unlike research done on male sexual dysfunction, the lack of a measurable physical parameter to measure sexual dysfunction among females is a common, inevitable limitation of studies done on female sexual health, making interviews and questionnaires of different versions the only available approaches to this issue.\textsuperscript{21} In recent studies, the FSFI questionnaire has been widely used to establish the presence of sexual dysfunction.\textsuperscript{9}

The meta-analysis by Pontiroli et al., showed that the risk for sexual dysfunction is higher among women with type 2 diabetes mellitus as compared with women with type 1 diabetes mellitus.\textsuperscript{7} Celik et al., stated that the high frequency of sexual dysfunction and the lower sexual quality of life in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus are considered to be resulting from the fact that most women with type 2 diabetes were in the older age group and most of them were in menopause. Moreover, the development and diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus, in contrast to type 1 diabetes mellitus, occurs later in life when relationships and sexual expectations are already established. This new diagnosis may require adaptive changes in behavior and relationship patterns, potentially creating marital tension and intimacy conflict, which ultimately leads to or exacerbates sexual problems.\textsuperscript{20}

Studies also showed that the risk of having sexual dysfunction among premenopausal women with either type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus were significant as compared with women with diabetes mellitus in their menopause.\textsuperscript{20,21} The relatively less significant difference in the menopause groups might be the result of the overwhelming senescence and the natural worsening of sexual functions in both diabetic and control groups with aging.\textsuperscript{21}

In this study, we decided to include only women with type 2 diabetes mellitus who are in their reproductive years. Seventy two percent of the participants were found to have sexual dysfunction as compared with documented rates of sexual dysfunction among women with diabetes mellitus that ranged from 25\% to 88\%.\textsuperscript{3,4,6-9} By using Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test, it was shown that age was significantly associated with sexual dysfunction ($p=0.016$). Using simple logistic regression, the 45 to 50-year-old age group showed a possible association with sexual dysfunction ($p=0.019$), however this was no longer shown in the subsequent multiple logistic regression and stepwise variable selection analysis. The computed odds ratio in the simple logistic regression (OR 3.53, 95\% CI 1.23 – 10.12) suggests that the odds of having sexual dysfunction among Filipino, female type 2 diabetics in the 45-50 age group is 3.5 times higher as compared with those aged less than 45 years old.

Among the participants who were identified to have sexual dysfunction, the three domains that scored the lowest were lubrication, orgasm and pain. In comparison with the study done in China in 2012, where premenopausal participants with type 2 diabetes mellitus scored lowest in the satisfaction, arousal and desire domain, these results are consistent with the study by Lewis, that showed more Filipino women have difficulties in lubrication and achieving orgasm as compared with women from other Asian countries.\textsuperscript{19,21}

Previous studies showed sexual dysfunction is associated with a high BMI and the metabolic syndrome, while other independent variables consistent with the clinical and metabolic correlates reported in several studies showed no statistically significant correlation with sexual dysfunction.\textsuperscript{5,12,18,22} In this study, by using Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test, it was shown that BMI was significantly associated with sexual dysfunction ($p=0.001$). By using simple logistic regression to determine the individual effect of each variables, having an overweight ($p=0.007$), and an obese BMI ($p=0.002$) showed a possible association with sexual dysfunction. When accounting for the effects due to all the additional variables through multiple logistic regression, it was only having an overweight BMI ($p=0.04$) that showed a possible association with sexual dysfunction. On further analysis using the stepwise variable selection, a high BMI ($p=0.005$) showed a possible association with sexual dysfunction. The calculated adjusted odds ratio in the stepwise variable selection (OR 3.07, 95\% CI 1.40 – 6.71) suggests that among premenopausal Filipino females with type 2 diabetes mellitus and a high BMI, the odds of having sexual dysfunction is three times higher as compared to those with a normal BMI.

Of the five criteria that defines the metabolic syndrome, only an elevated FBS of more than 100mg/dl was noted to be significantly associated with sexual dysfunction based on Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test ($p=0.006$) and is also suggested in the simple logistic regression ($p=0.012$). The computed odds ratio in the simple logistics regression (OR 6.8, 95\% CI 1.51 – 30.49) suggests that the odds of sexual dysfunction among Filipino, female type 2 diabetics with an elevated FBS of more than 100 mg/dl is 6.8 times higher as compared to those without an elevated FBS. One must be cautious in interpreting this data since FBS measurement can be varied depending on multiple factors and does not reflect a long term picture of a patient’s diabetes status. Moreover, the wide confidence interval suggests that the sample size in this study is small and that conclusions should be replicated with a study that involves a larger sample size.

Diabetic end-organ complications may play a role in female sexual dysfunction, however the relationship of complications and sexual dysfunction was indicated only by few articles and was excluded by most studies.\textsuperscript{9,21} In our study, based on Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test, all of the three microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus namely diabetic retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy were associated with sexual dysfunction or a low FSFI score. The microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus were no longer included in the logistic regression analysis due to null participants with no sexual dysfunction in the diabetic retinopathy and diabetic neuropathy subgroup (Table 5).

Similar to the cross sectional study done by Vafaeimanesh et al.,\textsuperscript{7} our study also showed that there is significant correlation between diabetic retinopathy and the presence of sexual dysfunction ($p=0.046$).
Previous studies reported diabetic neuropathy and sexual dysfunction in different ways. Among women with diabetes mellitus, psychological morbidity may be a possible determinant. A study by Elyasi stated that depression is common in women with Type 2 DM and sexual dysfunction is highly prevalent among those with depression. Among men, peripheral neuropathy is a common cause of erectile dysfunction, however, there is little relevant literature among women to associate peripheral neuropathy with sexual dysfunction.

In this study the presence of diabetic neuropathy as documented by the inability to identify at least one out of 4 test points in the 10-g Semmes-Weinstein monofilament test was significantly associated with the presence of sexual dysfunction (p=0.001).

Few studies address sexual dysfunction among women with diabetic nephropathy. Elyasi found no significant association between sexual dysfunction and complications of diabetes mellitus. In contrast, the study done by Vafaeimanesh showed that the presence of diabetic nephropathy as documented by the presence of albuminuria was significantly associated with the presence of sexual dysfunction.

In this study, the presence of albuminuria or proteinuria (p=0.024) and diabetic nephropathy (p=0.004) were significantly associated with the presence of sexual dysfunction based on Chi-square/ Fischer’s Exact Test.

The presence of albuminuria or proteinuria showed possible association with sexual dysfunction in the simple logistic regression (p=0.017) and stepwise variable selection (p=0.024). Although the computed adjusted odds ratio in the stepwise variable selection (OR 11.65, 95% CI 1.38 – 98.13) suggests that the odds of having sexual dysfunction is twelve times higher in the presence of albuminuria or proteinuria, the wide confidence interval suggests that the sample size in this study is small and that conclusions should be replicated with a study that involves a larger sample size.

In our institution, it was identified that the prevalence of sexual dysfunction is high among premenopausal Filipino females with type 2 diabetes mellitus. It is therefore recommended to screen for sexual dysfunction among this group. Clinicians can opt to use the FSFI as an objective tool to assess for the presence of sexual dysfunction and identify specific domains that could be of particular concern for the patient. Since among women, sexual dysfunction mostly includes problems in sexual desire, satisfaction, orgasmic, lubrication and arousal the management of sexual dysfunction may require a multidisciplinary approach for optimal management, and referral to an OB-Gynecologist or a psychiatrist should be considered whenever necessary. In this study, women scored lowest on lubrication, orgasm and pain domains and based on this findings, clinicians can advise patients on methods to achieve adequate lubrication such as proper hydration, proper stimulation, or the use of artificial lubricants in order to lessen pain and hopefully achieve improvement in orgasm. Other possible causes of pain such as infection, vaginismus, or genital skin conditions should also be identified and addressed properly. Patients should also be advised to maintain or achieve a normal BMI which has been an identified risk factor associated with sexual dysfunction.

This study was able to identify that the microvascular complications of diabetes mellitus may be associated with sexual dysfunction. Diabetes is a complex, chronic illness requiring continuous medical care with multifactorial risk-reduction strategies beyond glycemic control. Ongoing patient self-management education and support are critical to preventing acute complications and reducing the risk of long-term complications.

Limitations of the study and recommendations

As in many studies about sexual dysfunction, a small population size is a limitation in the interpretation of data. In this study, some variables have very wide confidence interval (e.g. confidence interval for FBS and albuminuria). This is due to a bias called sparse data bias low event per variable, categorical covariates with very low or high prevalence, and narrowly distributed continuous predictors. Moreover, due to the small sample size, the regression analyses done in our study is not valid and at best provides only an estimate and should be validated in future studies with a larger sample size.

It is therefore recommended that a prospective study that involves a larger number of participants that may involve multiple centers be done as follow-up. It is also suggested that studies be done on whether an improvement in body mass index or treatment of diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy or nephropathy would result in an improvement of FSFI score or overall sexual health.

CONCLUSION

Seventy-two percent of premenopausal Filipino women with type 2 diabetes mellitus seen at the outpatient of Makati Medical Center were found to have sexual dysfunction. Among the participants who were identified to have sexual dysfunction, the three domains that scored the lowest were lubrication, orgasm and pain. Age, a high body mass index, an uncontrolled fasting blood sugar and presence of diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy or nephropathy is associated with sexual dysfunction.
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APPENDIX A

Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI)

Subject Identifier ____________________ Age __________ Date ____________________

INSTRUCTIONS: These questions ask about your sexual feelings and responses during the past 4 weeks. Please answer the following questions as honestly and clearly as possible. Your responses will be kept completely confidential. In answering these questions the following definitions apply:

Sexual activity can include caressing, foreplay, masturbation and vaginal intercourse.
Sexual intercourse is defined as penile penetration (entry) of the vagina.
Sexual stimulation includes situations like foreplay with a partner, self-stimulation (masturbation), or sexual fantasy.

CHECK ONLY ONE BOX PER QUESTION.

Sexual desire or interest is a feeling that includes wanting to have a sexual experience, feeling receptive to a partner’s sexual initiation, and thinking or fantasizing about having sex.

1. Over the past 4 weeks, how often did you feel sexual desire or interest?
   □ Almost always or always
   □ Most times (more than half the time)
   □ Sometimes (about half the time)
   □ A few times (less than half the time)
   □ Almost never or never

2. Over the past 4 weeks, how would you rate your level (degree) of sexual desire or interest?
   □ Very high
   □ High
   □ Moderate
   □ Low
   □ Very low or none at all

Sexual arousal is a feeling that includes both physical and mental aspects of sexual excitement. It may include feelings of warmth or tingling in the genitals, lubrication (wetness), or muscle contractions.

3. Over the past 4 weeks, how often did you feel sexually aroused (“turned on”) during sexual activity or intercourse?
   □ No sexual activity
   □ Almost always or always
   □ Most times (more than half the time)
   □ Sometimes (about half the time)
   □ A few times (less than half the time)
   □ Almost never or never

4. Over the past 4 weeks, how would you rate your level of sexual arousal (“turn on”) during sexual activity or intercourse?
   □ No sexual activity
   □ Very high
   □ High
   □ Moderate
   □ Low
   □ Very low or none at all

5. Over the past 4 weeks, how confident were you about becoming sexually aroused during sexual activity or intercourse?
   □ No sexual activity
   □ Very high confidence
   □ High confidence
   □ Moderate confidence
   □ Low confidence
   □ Very low or no confidence

6. Over the past 4 weeks, how often have you been satisfied with your arousal (excitement) during sexual activity or intercourse?
   □ No sexual activity
   □ Almost always or always
   □ Most times (more than half the time)
   □ Sometimes (about half the time)
   □ A few times (less than half the time)
   □ Almost never or never

7. Over the past 4 weeks, how often did you become lubricated (”wet”) during sexual activity or intercourse?
   □ No sexual activity
   □ Almost always or always
   □ Most times (more than half the time)
   □ Sometimes (about half the time)
   □ A few times (less than half the time)
   □ Almost never or never

8. Over the past 4 weeks, how difficult was it to become lubricated (“wet”) during sexual activity or intercourse?
   □ No sexual activity
   □ Extremely difficult or impossible
   □ Very difficult
   □ Difficult
   □ Slightly difficult
   □ Not difficult

9. Over the past 4 weeks, how often did you maintain your lubrication (“wetness”) until completion of sexual activity or intercourse?
   □ No sexual activity
   □ Almost always or always
   □ Most times (more than half the time)
   □ Sometimes (about half the time)
   □ A few times (less than half the time)
   □ Almost never or never

10. Over the past 4 weeks, how difficult was it to maintain your lubrication (“wetness”) until completion of sexual activity or intercourse?
    □ No sexual activity
    □ Extremely difficult or impossible
    □ Very difficult
    □ Difficult
    □ Slightly difficult
    □ Not difficult
11. Over the past 4 weeks, when you had sexual stimulation or intercourse, how often did you reach orgasm (climax)?
- No sexual activity
- Almost always or always
- Most times (more than half the time)
- Sometimes (about half the time)
- A few times (less than half the time)
- Almost never or never

12. Over the past 4 weeks, when you had sexual stimulation or intercourse, how difficult was it for you to reach orgasm (climax)?
- No sexual activity
- Extremely difficult or impossible
- Very difficult
- Difficult
- Slightly difficult
- Not difficult

13. Over the past 4 weeks, how satisfied were you with your ability to reach orgasm (climax) during sexual activity or intercourse?
- No sexual activity
- Very satisfied
- Moderately satisfied
- About equally satisfied and dissatisfied
- Moderately dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

14. Over the past 4 weeks, how satisfied have you been with the amount of emotional closeness during sexual activity between you and your partner?
- No sexual activity
- Very satisfied
- Moderately satisfied
- About equally satisfied and dissatisfied
- Moderately dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

15. Over the past 4 weeks, how satisfied have you been with your sexual relationship with your partner?
- Very satisfied
- Moderately satisfied
- About equally satisfied and dissatisfied
- Moderately dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

16. Over the past 4 weeks, how satisfied have you been with your overall sexual life?
- Very satisfied
- Moderately satisfied
- About equally satisfied and dissatisfied
- Moderately dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

17. Over the past 4 weeks, how often did you experience discomfort or pain during vaginal penetration?
- Did not attempt intercourse
- Almost always or always
- Most times (more than half the time)
- Sometimes (about half the time)
- A few times (less than half the time)
- Almost never or never

18. Over the past 4 weeks, how often did you experience discomfort or pain following vaginal penetration?
- Did not attempt intercourse
- Almost always or always
- Most times (more than half the time)
- Sometimes (about half the time)
- A few times (less than half the time)
- Almost never or never

19. Over the past 4 weeks, how would you rate your level (degree) of discomfort or pain during or following vaginal penetration?
- Did not attempt intercourse
- Very high
- High
- Moderate
- Low
- Very low or none at all

Thank you for completing this questionnaire.

APPENDIX B

FSFI scoring

The individual domain scores and full scale (overall) score of the FSFI can be derived from the computational formula outlined in the table below. For individual domain scores, add the scores of the individual items that comprise the domain and multiply the sum by the domain factor (see below). Add the six domain scores to obtain the full scale score. It should be noted that within the individual domains, a domain score of zero indicates that the subject reported having no sexual activity during the past month. Subject scores can be entered in the right-hand column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Minimum Score</th>
<th>Maximum Score</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desire</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arousal</td>
<td>3,4,5,6</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubrication</td>
<td>7,8,9,10</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orgasm</td>
<td>11,12,13</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>14,15,16</td>
<td>0 (or 1) - 5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>17,18,19</td>
<td>0 - 5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full Scale Score Range</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>