Risk Factors Associated with Arterial Stiffness in Diabetic Nephropathy in an Asian Population Cohort
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Abstract

Introduction. Individuals with diabetic nephropathy (DN) are at risk for cardiovascular disease. Arterial stiffness summarizes an individual’s global cardiovascular risk burden. We investigated the relationship between major modifiable metabolic risk factors (excluding blood pressure) and arterial stiffness in DN.

Methods. Cross sectional study of 353 Diabetic Asians with Modified Diet to retard Renal Disease (MDRD) formula estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <90 mls/min/1.73m². Central Aortic Systolic Pressure (CASP), a surrogate measurement of arterial stiffness, was estimated using validated BPro A-Pulse™ tonometry. Visceral fat area (VFA) was estimated by tetrapolar multi-frequency bio-impedance.

Results. Study population: 61% male, 9% current smoker, 84% receiving lipid lowering therapy and 45% taking insulin. Mean age(SD) was 61(9) year, CASP 130.0(21.4) mmHg, HBA1c 8.1(1.7)% , eGFR 50.1(26.8) mls/min/1.73m², urinary albumin-creatinine ratio (ACR) 662(1339) mg/g, LDL 2.67(0.92) mM, VFA 130.0(31.9) cm². After adjusting for age, gender, ethnicity and smoking status, eGFR (β coefficient= -0.13) and HBA1c (1.66) remained as significant independent predictors of CASP (P<0.05). However, all the tested modifiable risk factors collectively explained only ~10% of variation in CASP.

Conclusion. GFR and HBA1c are modifiable predictive factors for arterial stiffness in DN. However, our results suggested the presence of undiscovered novel risk factors.
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Introduction

Asian diabetic individuals are highly susceptible to nephropathy. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) is also associated with increased risk for cardiovascular diseases (CVD). The mechanism for CVD is likely to be complex and many of the risk factors may be potentially modifiable. These include excessive adiposity (in particular, visceral adiposity), glycemic burden, hypertension, dyslipidemia and deranged bone mineral metabolism. These hemodynamic and metabolic factors lead to impaired endothelial function and vascular injury such as arterial stiffness.

Several methods have been described to estimate arterial stiffness with pulse wave velocity (PWV) being considered as “gold standard”. Alternatively, central aortic systolic pressure (CASP) is also considered a good surrogate index of arterial stiffness. Recent reports demonstrated that noninvasively measured radial artery pressure waveform can accurately estimate CASP in human. These advances provided safe and reliable method to investigate vascular biology in vivo.

Diabetes mellitus is associated with arterial stiffness. However, to the best of our knowledge, little is known about the risk factors (especially those amenable to interventions) associated with arterial stiffness in the subpopulation of DN subjects who are at extremely high risk for CVD. Therefore, we investigated the relationship between potentially modifiable metabolic risk factors and arterial stiffness in a large group of Asian diabetic individuals with a wide range of renal filtration function.

Methods

Patient population

Convenient sampling of 577 consecutive diabetic individuals attending a single hospital-based diabetes
centres. Diabetes mellitus was diagnosed according to World Health Organization proposed criteria. Subjects with Modified Diet to retard Renal Disease (MDRD) formula estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <90 mls/min/1.73m² (corresponding to stage 2 or more severe) were considered as having chronic kidney disease (CKD) (N=353, 61% of the overall study population). We defined CKD in this manner for the following reasons. Firstly, MDRD formula performs well when eGFR is <90 mls/min/1.73m² among diabetic individuals. We did not choose the recently developed CKD-EPI formula because investigators reported that it did not perform better than simpler MDRD formula in diabetic population. Secondly, when eGFR is <90 mls/min/1.73m², prevalence of co-morbidities associated with CKD (e.g., hypertension) began to increase markedly suggesting that GFR at this level (or below) is associated with meaningful derangement in renal physiology. Current smoker referred to subjects who have been smoking cigarettes daily for 3 months or more just prior to enrolment into the study.

Central Aortic Systolic Pressure (CASP)

BPro A-Pulse™ (Healthstat, Singapore) non-invasive tonometric device was used to measure CASP based on radial arterial waveform analysis. In this method, the radial waveform is calibrated to brachial blood pressure, measured using standard sphygmomanometry, thereby generating a calibrated radial artery pressure waveform (RAPWF). Using an n-point moving average (NPMA), a mathematical modeling method that acts as a low pass filter to smooth signal data to better determine underlying trends, investigators recently reported that RAPWF analysis could accurately estimate CASP in human.

Visceral fat area (VFA)

Inbody S20 (Derwent Healthcare, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom) tetrapolar multi-frequency bio-impedance method was used to estimate VFA. Validated against VFA derived from computed tomography (CT) at the umbilical level, this convenient method has been reported to accurately estimating VFA. We decided to assess adiposity by measuring VFA in preference over body mass index (BMI) for the following reasons. Firstly, Asians are known to accumulate more body fat at any given BMI. Secondly, epidemiological data suggested visceral adiposity might confer greater burden of cardiovascular dysmetabolism than global obesity. Thirdly, patients with advance CKD may have protein malnutrition and hence sarcopenia. Therefore, their BMI may be low but paradoxically their CVD risk remains elevated. Hence, directly estimating adiposity using VFA may avoid this paradox when studying CKD patients.

Clinical and biochemical parameters

Anthropometric data were measured for all individuals. Two readings of blood pressure were taken from participants after five minutes resting using an automated blood pressure monitor (Dinamap Pro100V2; Criticon, Norderstedt, Germany) by trained observers. A third reading was performed if difference between two readings of systolic blood pressure (SBP) was >10 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) was >5 mmHg. The mean values of the closest two readings were calculated. Early morning spot urine sample was collected for albumin and creatinine measurement (albumin over creatinine ratio, ACR) using commercial assay (Immulite, DPC, Gwynedd, United Kingdom) with a lower detection limit of 6 mg/L. Venous blood samples (taken after a 10-hour fast) with EDTA as anticoagulant were kept in icebox immediately after collection and the plasma was separated from erythrocytes by centrifuging at 1500 g for 10 min at 4°C. Plasma creatinine levels were measured by means of Jaffé’s kinetic method using a Roche Integra 800 analyzer. Blood lipids [Total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG) and high density lipoprotein (HDL-C)] were measured by enzymatic methods using Kodak Ektachem chemistry slides, which were then read on a Vitros 700 Chemistry Analyser. HDL-C was measured after precipitation with dextran sulphate and magnesium chloride. LDL-C was calculated using Friedewald’s formula.

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean±SD. All statistical analysis was calculated using SPSS version 19.0. Chi-square analysis was used to test for difference in categorical variables. Student’s t test was employed to compare continuous variables between two groups. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare continuous variables between three or more groups. To explore factors potentially predictive of variation in CASP, bi-variate correlation analysis was performed using Pearson Correlation (for normally distributed data) or Spearman’s rho method (for non-normally distributed data). Factors significantly correlated with CASP (P<0.05) i.e. eGFR and urinary ACR were further tested in multi-variate analysis. General liner model (GLM) was used in multi-variate analysis. Though non-modifiable, the following factors known to influence CASP i.e. age, gender, ethnicity and smoking status were included in the model. Based on biological and clinical considerations, the following factors were also preferentially included i.e. HBA1c, LDL-cholesterol, VFA. Blood pressure was excluded in the final model because BPro A-Pulse™ estimate CASP based on RAPWF was calibrated using brachial blood pressure. Therefore, CASP in our data expectedly showed unacceptably high co-linearity with systolic blood pressure (SBP) (r=0.87, P<0.001) akin to circular reasoning. In fact, when SBP was included in the model, the overall correlation (R) was inflated to 0.92 suggesting over-fitting (detailed data not shown). Hence, the following modifyable and non-modifiable risk factors were included in our final multi-variate model: Gender, ethnicity, smoking status, age, eGFR, urinary ACR, HBA1c, LDL-
cholesterol and VFA. A two-sided p-value was used and a p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

The study was approved by our center's Institutional Review Board (IRB) and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Results**

Among the 353 DN subjects, 94% were type 2 diabetes, 60.6% were male; 62.6% Chinese, 27.8% Malay and 9.6% Indian; 9.4% were current smokers, 84% were receiving lipid lowering therapy, 45% were treated with insulin, 76% were treated with either angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB) or both. Clinical and laboratory data of the 353 DN subjects are shown in table 1. Most of the study subjects had moderately severe CKD with eGFR averaged at only 50.1(26.8) mls/min/1.73m² (range: 2.8 to 89.9) corresponding to stage 3 CKD. There was no difference in CASP between gender, ethnic groups, current smoker vs. non-smoker. Therefore, CASP: male versus female [mean(SD)] was 128.9(20.9) mmHg vs. 131.8(22.1) (P=0.22); Chinese versus Malay versus Indians was 128.8(20.6) mmHg vs. 134.6(23.8) vs. 125.4(16.8) (P trend=0.12); Current smoker versus non-smoker was 131.0(21.5) mmHg vs. 124.9(17.6) (P trend=0.11).

When CASP is treated as a continuous variable, bi-variate correlational analysis is shown in table 2a. Only eGFR and urinary ACR were significantly correlated with CASP. Given that B'Pro A-Pulse™ estimated CASP was derived from RAPWF calibrated with brachial blood pressure, as expected, our data revealed extremely strong correlation between CASP and SBP. Therefore, as explained above, blood pressure was excluded from multi-variate analysis. Normative data of CASP is yet to be established. Therefore, when the normally-distributed CASP is treated as a categorical variable, dichotomized into two groups according to the mean value of 130 mmHg, comparison of risk factors between the two groups is as shown in table 2b. Subjects with high CASP were older (P=0.036), had high systemic blood pressure (P=0.001) and carried greater visceral adiposity (P=0.018).

| Table 1. Clinical and biochemical profile of subjects with diabetic nephropathy (N=353) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Age (years)** | 67 (10)         | 27.7 (8.9)      | 141 (20)        | 79 (11)         | 8.1 (1.7)       |
| **Total cholesterol (mM)** | 4.58 (1.20) | 1.19 (0.38) | 2.67 (0.92) | 2.04 (1.63) | 50.1 (26.8) |
| **Triglyceride (mM)** | 1.79 (0.49) | 0.0995 (9.6) | 130.0 (31.9) | 24.7 (9.6) | 130.0 (24.1) |

Results of multi-variate analysis are shown in Table 3. Given that our intention was to focus on major modifiable risk factors for CASP and taking into consideration factors known to affect CASP (e.g. age and smoking status), the following were included in the final model: HBA1c, eGFR, ACR, LDL-cholesterol, VFA, age, gender, ethnicity and smoking status. Our results revealed that HBA1c and eGFR were significant independent predictors of CASP (P<0.05). This relationship was only modestly attenuated when additionally adjusted for gender, ethnicity and smoking status. However, when all the major modifiable risk factors (i.e. HBA1c, eGFR, ACR, LDL, VFA and smoking status) were considered together, they collectively only explained ~10% of CASP variation in this group of DN subjects.

| Table 2a. Bi-variate correlation analysis between central aortic systolic pressure (CASP) and risk factors. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Coefficient of correlation (r)** | 0.096 | 0.075 | 0.07 | 0.071 | 0.071 |
| **P value (2-tailed)** | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.05 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

| Table 2b. Clinical and biochemical profile of diabetic nephropathy subjects stratified by study-cohort mean central aortic systolic pressure (CASP) of 130 mmHg |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **CASP<130 mmHg** | 60 (10) | 27.8 (11.4) | 127 (13) | 76 (10) |
| **CASP≥130 mmHg** | 62 (29) | 27.7 (4.9) | 157 (16) | 85 (11) |

| Table 3. Multi-variate analysis of predictors of central aortic systolic pressure. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **Constant** | 138.5 | <0.001 | 126 | <0.001 |
| **Age** | 0.20 | 0.149 | 0.185 | 0.12 |
| **HBA1c (%)** | 0.185 | 0.149 | 0.185 | 0.12 |
| **Total cholesterol (mM)** | 4.65 (1.51) | 4.6 (1.25) | 0.92 | 0.167 |
| **Triglyceride (mM)** | 2.14 (4.27) | 2.14 (2.15) | 0.98 | 0.126 |
| **Glomerular filtration rate (m/min/1.73m²)** | 88.9 (129.8) | 83.3 (134.8) | 0.65 | 0.102 |
| **Urinary albumin/creatinine ratio (mg/g)** | 388 (1152) | 564 (1150) | 0.09 | 0.102 |
| **Percentage body fat (%)** | 124.6 (35.0) | 131.4 (32.3) | 0.018 | 0.012 |

*Adjusted for gender, ethnicity and smoking status
Discussion

In a large, multi-ethnic Asian population with DN, we demonstrated that renal glomerular filtration function and glycemic burden were important independent predictors of arterial stiffness (i.e. CASP). However, the major modifiable risk factors studied collectively accounted for only ~10% of variation in CASP. This suggested the presence of undiscovered novel risk factors which may be amenable to interventions. Future study to uncover these risk factors may reveal novel therapeutic targets.

DN is associated with systemic vascular dysfunction manifesting as arterial stiffness which appears to progress in tandem with severity of CKD15. Therefore, it was perhaps not surprising that our data revealed CASP varied inversely with eGFR, i.e. increasing arterial stiffness with worsening renal filtration function. The mechanistic link between renal dysfunction and arterial stiffness is likely to be complex – there are direct and indirect processes. For instance, renal dysfunction is associated with chronic low grade inflammation16, activation of rennin-angiotensin aldosterone system17, increased oxidative stress, abnormal tubulo-glomerular feedback18 and deranged bone mineral metabolism19. These factors are known to be associated with vascular dysfunction20. Alternatively, arterial stiffness and renal dysfunction may share a “common soil”21. There is a growing body of evidence to suggest that components of cardiovascular dysmetabolism not only drive vascular injury but also predispose a person to CKD22. Corollary to this, interventions targeted at reducing cardiovascular burden are often reno-protective and vice versa23. Therefore, it appears reasonable to consider preservation of renal function as beneficial to prevent arterial stiffness.

Glycemic burden is another purported candidate for reno-vascular injury24. The mechanisms have been elegantly summarized by Brownlee et al25. Briefly, hyperglycemia is known to drive oxidative stress which leads to diversion of metabolic intermediary towards alternative “shunt pathways” such as polyol pathway, hexo-amine pathway, production of advance glycation end-product and activation of protein kinase C. However, the efficacy of anti-hyperglycemic interventions in ameliorating CVD appeared somewhat difficult to prove in clinical trials26. Nevertheless, a few recent meta-analyses lend support to the cardiovascular benefits of reducing glycemc burden27. Therefore, optimization of glycemic control remains the cornerstone of diabetes management for the prevention of diabetic vascular complications.

In our cohort of DN patients, we did not observe any association between LDL-cholesterol and CASP. This is consistent with observations from clinical trials in which lipid lowering therapy among individuals on hemodialysis over a period of 3.8 years had no significant effect on fatal or non-fatal CVD28. Nevertheless, lipid lowering therapy continued to hold considerable promise as very recent clinical study reported significant reduction in cardiovascular endpoints among individuals with both diabetes and non-diabetes associated CKD29. Similarly, we did not observe any association between severity of albuminuria, visceral adiposity and CASP. Although albuminuria has been widely reported as a risk marker for CVD30, interventional studies successful in achieving significant anti-proteinuria could not uniformly demonstrate a reduction in cardiovascular events31. Having said so, in the PREVEND Intervention Trial (PREVEND IT), patients with albuminuria treated with fosinopril did report a trend toward a decrease in cardiovascular events32. Therefore, intervention targeted at albuminuria reduction remains a promising therapeutic strategy. As far as we know, there are no high quality clinical trials conducted to specifically test whether interventions targeted at reducing visceral adiposity could ameliorate vascular injury among DN subjects33. Taken together, our data supported the notion that conventional major cardiovascular risk factors (e.g. hyperlipidemia, albuminuria and visceral adiposity) might not fully explain the cardiovascular burden in diabetic individuals with CKD34. Much needs to be done to better understand the patho-biology behind CKD and CVD. It is thus important to search for novel cardiovascular risk factors (e.g. CKD-metabolic bone disease, adrenomedullin and adiponectin) in this high risk population.

The strength of our study included large, multi-ethnic, high risk (diabetic Asians) population which is largely under-represented in the literature. We used a validated method (BPro A-Pulse™) to measure CASP which is an accepted surrogate measurement of arterial stiffness. In addition, we measured visceral adiposity (i.e. VFA) rather than simpler but non-specific measurement of global obesity (i.e. BMI) in this group of CKD subjects at risk of protein malnutrition. There are however several limitations in our study. Firstly, this is a cross-sectional ecological study thereby precluding causal inference between risk factors studied and CASP. Therefore, our observations can only be considered as hypothesis-generating. Secondly, we could not include SBP, a major risk factor for vascular injury, in our analysis given that CASP measurement was derived from SBP based on blood pressure calibrated RAPWF. This might have been possible if we had employed PWV (“gold standard”) to estimate arterial stiffness. Thirdly, we did not systematically collect high quality information on concomitant medications i.e. type of drugs exposed, dosage and duration exposed etc. As a result, we were not able to account for this when performing statistical adjustment. Fourthly, our study did not include other promising risk markers for arterial stiffness in CKD population e.g. vitamin D. Therefore, our future plan is to study the relationship between traditional and novel risk factors and arterial stiffness (measured by PWV) in a large cohort of Asian diabetic individuals.
In summary, eGFR and HBA1c are important modifiable risk factors for CASP in individuals with DN. However, the role of SBP on arterial stiffness in DN subjects deserves further study. Moreover, our results suggested possible presence of undiscovered novel risk factors which may be amenable to interventions.
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