

Effect of Insulin Detemir (Levemir®) on Risk of Hypoglycaemia and Glycaemic Parameters: Experience from Real Life Practice in Indonesian Patients with Diabetes Mellitus

Pradana Soewondo¹ and Anand Jain²

¹Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia, Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia

²Novo Nordisk Pharma Operations (BAOS) Sdn Bhd, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Abstract

Objective. To evaluate the safety and efficacy of insulin detemir in patients with diabetes mellitus in Indonesia.

Methods. This was a multi-centre, prospective, 12-week observational study in patients with diabetes mellitus conducted in Indonesia.

Results. A non-randomized sample of 1290 patients with diabetes mellitus in which most of them were type 2 diabetes (1285 patients, 57.4% males, mean age 54.1 ± 9.0 years, mean BMI 23.5 ± 4.1 kg/m², mean duration of diabetes 6.5 ± 4.9 years) were recruited from 121 sites. No serious adverse drug reactions (SADRs) including major hypoglycaemic episodes were reported at 12 weeks. The rate of total and major hypoglycaemic episodes decreased from 0.0248 to 0.0031 episodes/patient years and from 0.0022 to 0 episodes/patient years from baseline to 12 weeks, respectively. Treatment with insulin detemir was associated with a reduction in HbA_{1c} of -2.0%-point (95% CI, -2.13 to -1.93) from baseline to 12 weeks. Insulin detemir also improved FPG. A slight increase of 0.12 kg (95% CI, -0.05 to 0.29) in body weight was observed from baseline to 12 weeks.

Conclusions. 12-week treatment with insulin detemir was safe and well-tolerated in Indonesian patients with type 2 diabetes. It improved glycaemic control, decreased the risk of hypoglycaemia and was relatively weight neutral.

Key Words: Insulin detemir; Indonesia; Safety, Efficacy

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic and progressive disease, and is associated with a series of macro- and micro-vascular complications. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) demonstrated that good metabolic control, resulting from intensive insulin therapy, reduced the risk of development and/or progression of retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy in type 1 diabetes¹. The United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) and other studies showed that intensive glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes could significantly reduce the risk of development and/or deterioration of micro-vascular complications^{2,3} and may improve cardiovascular outcomes³. An important new insight is the existence of so-called 'glycaemic metabolic memory.' Both DCCT/EDIC (Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications) and UKPDS follow-up studies^{4,5} demonstrated that the level of glucose control in the early years of disease would impact dramatically on the development of later complications. In both studies, in

comparison with patients who were not optimally controlled, patients with tighter glycaemic control during the study would develop less micro- and macrovascular complications more than 10 years after discontinuation of the study. These observations emphasize the need to control glycaemia as tight and as early in the disease process as possible.

Insulin treatment is the cornerstone of diabetes management. It is the only means of achieving glycaemic control in insulin deficient patients with type 1 diabetes. It is also the only effective treatment for many patients with type 2 diabetes when deterioration of beta cells has progressed to the point that diet and oral agents have become inadequate to control hyperglycaemia. However, the main limitations to human insulin treatment include weight gain and an increased risk of hypoglycaemia. Furthermore, the desired relatively constant basal insulin level is difficult to obtain with the currently available intermediate-acting insulin preparations.

ISSN 0857-1074

Copyright © 2011 by the JAFES

Received August 31, 2010. Accepted February 10, 2011.

Corresponding author: Dr Pradana Soewondo, SpPD-KEMD, Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia, Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, Jakarta, Indonesia
Tel. number: +62 21 3907703
Fax number: +62 21 3928659
E-mail: soewondops@yahoo.com

Insulin detemir is a long-acting insulin analogue with improved pharmacological properties providing 24 hour basal insulin coverage. It has been demonstrated that insulin detemir treatment can result in more predictability (lower within-patient variability) of fasting blood glucose values^{6,7}, reduction of hypoglycaemic episodes^{8,9}, neutral or less weight gain both in type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes⁶⁻⁹.

Asia is the major site of a rapidly emerging diabetes epidemic¹⁰. India and China will remain the two countries with the highest numbers of people with diabetes (79.4 million and 42.3 million, respectively) by 2030¹⁰. In a national study from June 2007 through May 2008 which was designed to estimate the prevalence of diabetes among Chinese adults, 92.4 million adults had diabetes¹¹. Additionally, among top ten countries with bigger number of patients with diabetes, four of them are located in Asia: Indonesia, Pakistan, Bangladesh, and the Philippines. The prevalence of diabetes in urban Indonesia was 5.7%, consisting of diagnosed diabetes mellitus 1.5%, estimated undiagnosed diabetes mellitus 4.2% and IGT 10.2%.¹² Due to the high prevalence in Indonesia, diabetes will be a heavy social burden for the country. Until now no clinical data on use of insulin detemir in Indonesian patients was available. Therefore, the study was undertaken to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of treatment of insulin detemir in Indonesian patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes in clinical setting.

Methods and Materials

Study design

This was a multi-centre, prospective 12-week observational study in patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus conducted in Indonesia. Data was collected from the patients' records or self-monitored blood glucose diary or patients' own recollection at baseline and at approximately 12 weeks after starting insulin detemir. Patients were encouraged to comply with the protocol and come for the follow-up visits as per the schedule. All patients were to be prescribed insulin detemir at the discretion of the physician. There was no comparator group and patients served as their own controls (from baseline). Written informed consent was obtained for all patients before any study-related activity. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki¹³ and International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice¹⁴.

Patients

Patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes mellitus including newly diagnosed patients, were included in this study. Patients who were unlikely to comply with protocol, e.g. uncooperative attitude, inability to return for the final visit, patients who had hypersensitivity to insulin detemir or any of the excipients were excluded from the study. Patients were to be withdrawn from the study if they became pregnant or at the discretion of the investigator. At

all visits, the number of hypoglycaemic episodes experienced during the past 4 weeks, including the timing (daytime vs. nocturnal) and the number of major episodes, and the 6 most recent fasting plasma glucose values (from patient's self-monitored blood glucose diary) were recorded. Any adjustments to the timing and dose of insulin detemir therapy, including any change to concomitant insulin or oral hypoglycaemic agents were recorded.

Endpoints

The primary endpoint was the incidence of serious adverse drug reactions (SADRs), including major hypoglycaemic episodes, during 12 weeks of insulin detemir therapy. The secondary endpoints included the number and incidence of hypoglycaemic episodes in the 4 weeks preceding the final visit (12 weeks); incidence of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) and number of serious adverse event (SAE), change in body weight, HbA_{1c}, fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and the variability in FPG.

Major hypoglycaemic episode was defined as an episode with blood glucose <50 mg/dl (2.8 mmol/l), and with severe central nervous system symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia in which the patient was unable to treat himself/herself, or reversal of symptoms after either food intake or glucagon or intravenous glucose administration.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed for all patients, previous treatment with oral anti-diabetic drugs (OAD) and previous treatment with OAD+insulin. The summary of the baseline characteristics and safety data and the analysis of the efficacy outcome variables were based on Full Analysis Set (FAS), which consisted of all patients with a baseline visit, had been treated with insulin detemir at least once and did not use insulin detemir before the start of the study.

All results were interpreted in a descriptive manner. Hypoglycaemic events were expressed as absolute number and the number of episodes/patient years. All testing used two-sided tests with significance level $\alpha=0.05$ and were performed using SAS, Version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Baseline demographics and diabetes therapy

Patient demography is summarised in Table 1. Total 1290 patients were recruited in this study – 5 with type 1 diabetes and 1285 with type 2 diabetes. As most of the patient population had type 2 diabetes, this article presents data of type 2 diabetes patients only. In these 1285 patients, 52 patients were on no prior therapy, 832 patients (65.2%) were on only OAD and 232 patients (18.1%) were on OAD+Insulin. However, there were 582 patients (out of 832) who had OAD only at recruitment, shifted to OAD + basal insulin at baseline and continued

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics – type 2 diabetes

	Patients previously on 'OAD + insulin' therapy	Patients previously on 'OAD therapy only'	Total
N	182	582	1285
Age (Mean ±SD), years	56.0 (7.6)	55.4 (8.0)	54.1 (9.0)
Gender, M/F (%)	57.7/42.3	56.2/43.8	57.4/42.6
Weight (Mean ±SD), kg	58.1 (11.1)	63.2 (10.9)	61.9 (11.4)
BMI (Mean ±SD), kg/m ²	22.6 (4.9)	23.9 (3.8)	23.5 (4.1)
HbA _{1c} (Mean ±SD), %	9.2 (1.5)	9.5 (1.7)	9.4 (1.8)
Diabetes duration (Mean ±SD), years	7.3 (5.4)	6.2 (4.7)	6.5 (4.9)
Reason(s) for starting a new therapy, n (%)			
i) Improve glycaemic control	117 (64.3)	551 (94.7)	1078 (83.9)
ii) Try new insulin	144 (79.1)	324 (55.7)	762 (59.3)
iii) Improve weight control	41 (22.5)	259 (44.5)	488 (38.0)
iv) Patient dissatisfaction with current therapy	31 (17.0)	190 (32.6)	373 (29.0)
v) Reduce plasma glucose variability	26 (14.3)	212 (36.4)	348 (27.1)
vi) Unstable diabetes	25 (13.7)	176 (30.2)	306 (23.8)
vii) Reduce risk of hypoglycaemia	62 (34.1)	118 (20.3)	289 (22.5)
viii) Side effects from current therapy	9 (4.9)	56 (9.6)	138 (10.7)
ix) Change due to insulin pen	12 (6.6)	52 (8.9)	130 (10.1)

SD: Standard deviation; Percentages are based on the number of subjects with non-missing values; A subject may have findings in more than one category in 'Reason(s) for starting a new therapy'; BMI: Body Mass Index

on OAD + Basal insulin upto the end of study. Similarly, there were 182 patients (out of 232) on OAD + Basal at recruitment, till the end of study therapy. Only these patients have been included here.

The mean daily dose of insulin detemir at baseline was 13.2U and increased to 14.7U after 12 weeks of treatment. 98.6% patients received insulin detemir once daily at baseline and 97.1% patients were administered insulin detemir once daily after 12 weeks of treatment.

Safety

Adverse events

No SADR were reported during the study. Two ADRs were reported in this study and both were hypoglycemia. One death was reported. The patient died due to chronic renal failure. The event was assessed as unlikely related to study product.

Body weight

The estimated mean change in body weight from baseline to end of treatment was 0.12 kg (95% CI, -0.05 to 0.29) in all patients. In patients previously treated with OAD only, after transferring to insulin detemir (OAD group), the estimated mean change in body weight from baseline to end of treatment was 0.24 kg (95% CI, 0.01 to 0.46). In patients previously treated with OAD+insulin, after switching to insulin detemir (OAD+insulin group), the estimated mean change in body weight from baseline to end of treatment was -0.91 kg (95% CI, -1.44 to -0.37).

Hypoglycaemic events

The number of hypoglycaemic episodes after 12 weeks of treatment was reduced for overall and major hypoglycaemic episodes by categories of total and time of occurrence (daytime or nocturnal) for all patients, OAD group and OAD+insulin group. (Table 2)

Efficacy

HbA_{1c}

In all patients, the estimated mean change in HbA_{1c} from baseline to end of treatment was -2.0% (95% CI, -2.13 to -1.93). In OAD group, the estimated mean change in HbA_{1c} from baseline to end of treatment was -2.1% (95% CI, -2.23 to -1.97), while in OAD+insulin group, it was -1.6% (95% CI, -2.10 to -1.36). (Table 3)

FPG

In all patients, the estimated mean change in FPG from baseline to end of treatment was -72.9 mg/dL (95% CI, -103 to -60.1) (Table 3). The mean FPG variability was after 12 weeks of treatment reduced by 5.6 mg/dL from baseline. In OAD group, the mean FPG variability was reduced by 4.6 mg/dL from baseline after 12 weeks of treatment. In OAD+insulin group, the mean FPG variability was reduced by 7.6 mg/dL from baseline after 12 weeks of treatment.

Discussion

The results of this cohort of patients from Indonesia with type 2 diabetes suggest that 12-week treatment with insulin detemir improves glycaemic control without increasing the risk of hypoglycaemia and is relatively weight neutral. There were no SADR, including major hypoglycaemic episodes reported during the study. The rate of SADR in the Predictable Results and Experience in Diabetes through Intensification and Control to Target: an International Variability Evaluation (PREDICTIVE) European study cohort was 1%¹⁵. The lower rate of hypoglycaemic events in the Indonesian patients could be due to the lower dose of insulin detemir administered in this study and the fact the dose remained almost constant during 12-weeks. In general, the safety profile observed in this study was however consistent with the safety profile in PREDICTIVE Europe as well as in clinical trials where, insulin detemir has been shown to have a low risk of

Table 2. Hypoglycaemia Reported during Treatment

	Total hypoglycaemia		Daytime hypoglycaemia		Nocturnal hypoglycaemia	
	All events	Major events	All events	Major events	All events	Major events
Previously on OAD + insulin						
Baseline, N=182						
N (%)	10 (5.5%)	1 (0.5%)	10 (5.5%)	1 (0.5%)	8 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Episodes/patient years	0.0247	0.0014	0.0137	0.0014	0.0110	0.0000
Week 12, N=182						
N (%)	2 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Episodes/patient years	0.0055	0.0000	0.0055	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Previously on OAD						
Baseline, N=582						
N (%)	23 (4.0%)	2 (0.3%)	21 (3.6%)	2 (0.3%)	19 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Episodes/patient years	0.0202	0.0009	0.0112	0.0009	0.0090	0.0000
Week 12, N=582						
N (%)	5 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Episodes/patient years	0.0047	0.0000	0.0039	0.0000	0.0009	0.0000
All patients						
Baseline, N=1285						
N (%)	60 (4.7%)	8 (0.6%)	56 (4.4%)	7 (0.5%)	47 (3.7%)	3 (0.2%)
Episodes/patient years	0.0248	0.0022	0.0153	0.0016	0.0096	0.0006
Week 12, N=1278						
N (%)	8 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Episodes/patient years	0.0031	0.0000	0.0027	0.0000	0.0004	0.0000

Table 3. HbA_{1c} and FPG Change during Treatment

Variable (SD)	N	Baseline	Final visit	Absolute change
Previously on OAD + insulin				
HbA _{1c} , %	9	9.0 (1.6)	7.4 (0.8)	-1.6 (0.8)
FPG, mg/dL	59	206.3 (94.8)	140.7 (29.9)	-65.6 (84.9)
Previously on OAD				
HbA _{1c} , %	147	9.5 (1.7)	7.4 (1.0)	-2.1 (1.4)
FPG, mg/dL	342	207.6 (62.6)	134.9 (34.2)	-72.7 (57.1)
All patients				
HbA _{1c} , %	306	9.4 (1.7)	7.4 (0.9)	-2.0 (1.7)
FPG, mg/dL	615	209.3 (64.8)	136.4 (33.0)	-72.9 (61.1)

Data presented as Mean (SD)

hypoglycaemia, in particular, low risk of nocturnal hypoglycaemic episodes.

Furthermore, insulin detemir was weight neutral in the Indonesian study. A small increase in body weight of +0.12 kg was observed after 12 weeks of treatment with insulin detemir in all type 2 diabetes patients treated with insulin detemir. Also this finding is in general, consistent with the finding in the PREDICTIVE European cohort (type 2: -0.4kg)¹⁵⁻¹⁸. As the Indonesian patient cohort was not as overweight (mean BMI was 23.5 kg/m²) at baseline compared with the European patients at baseline (mean BMI was 29.5 kg/m² for type 2). Furthermore, patients previously treated with OAD showed an increase of 0.24 kg and patients previously treated with OAD+insulin showed a reduction of -0.91 kg in body weight, respectively. These results show the difference between adding insulin detemir to OAD and switching from another insulin to insulin detemir in combination with OAD and suggested that treatment with insulin detemir was weight neutral. Insulin therapy is often associated with weight gain¹⁹. While the mechanism underlying insulin-associated weight gain is not fully understood, it may result from higher peripheral versus hepatic insulin levels in patients receiving exogenous insulin, more efficient insulin-stimulated lipogenesis, and decreased glycosuria. Body weight is also modulated by the action of insulin at receptors in the brain that, when activated, decrease appetite and food consumption. It may be that

the lower weight gains observed in patients treated with insulin detemir versus NPH insulin are related to its avid binding to albumin. Albumin passes freely into the liver via hepatic sinusoids and this may result in increased hepatic and decreased peripheral action for insulin detemir, leading to less weight gain. Binding of insulin detemir to albumin may also enhance its penetration through the blood-brain barrier and action at insulin receptors in the brain^{19,20}.

The number of total, daytime and nocturnal hypoglycaemic episodes decreased in overall patients after 12 weeks of treatment. This finding is consistent with the observation in other PREDICTIVE cohort studies¹⁶⁻¹⁸ where the frequency of hypoglycaemic episodes decreased after 14 weeks of treatment. Owing to the unique physicochemical structure, insulin detemir shows a relatively flat pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profile and low within-patient variability which may result in reduction in hypoglycaemic events, particularly nocturnal hypoglycaemic events as compared to older basal insulin preparations²¹.

Treatment with insulin detemir enabled Indonesian patients with type 2 diabetes to reduce mean HbA_{1c} from 9.4% at baseline to 7.5% after 12 weeks of treatment with a mean reduction of 2.0%-point. In the subgroup analysis, a larger reduction of the mean HbA_{1c} was observed in the subgroup with OAD only as pre-treatment (2.1%)

compared to that in the subgroup with OAD+insulin as pre-treatment (1.6%). However, at the end of treatment HbA_{1c} was comparable in two subgroups (mean HbA_{1c}: 7.4%). The improved glycaemic control observed in the present observational study is strongly supportive of the original physicians' decision to initiate treatment with insulin detemir, which is to improve glycaemic control. Heterogeneity of real-life populations and the absence of a control group in observational studies may limit the conclusions we can draw from them. Furthermore, response rate in terms of HbA_{1c} and FPG measurement (28.6% and 53.1%, respectively) was also low in this study, hence, we should interpret these results carefully. Nevertheless, beneficial information on safety, efficacy and pattern of use of a drug in an extensive patient population can be gained from observational studies.

Conclusion

In conclusion, 12-week treatment with insulin detemir was safe and well-tolerated in Indonesian patients with type 2 diabetes. It improved glycaemic control without increasing the risk of hypoglycaemia and was relatively weight neutral.

References

- Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) Research Group. Effect of Intensive Diabetes Treatment on the Development and Progression of Long-Term Complications in Adolescents with Insulin-Dependent Diabetes-Mellitus - Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1994; 125(2): 177-188.
- Turner RC, Holman RR, Cull CA, Stratton IM, Matthews DR, Frighi V et al. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *Lancet* 1998; 352(9131): 837-853.
- Malmberg K. Prospective randomised study of intensive insulin treatment on long term survival after acute myocardial infarction in patients with diabetes mellitus. DIGAMI (Diabetes Mellitus, Insulin Glucose Infusion in Acute Myocardial Infarction) Study Group. *BMJ* 1997; 314(7093): 1512-1515.
- Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HA. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 359(15): 1577-1589.
- Nathan DM, Cleary PA, Backlund JY, Genuth SM, Lachin JM, Orchard TJ et al. Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353(25): 2643-2653.
- Hermansen K, Madsbad S, Perrild H, Kristensen A, Axelsen M. Comparison of the soluble basal insulin analog insulin detemir with NPH insulin - A randomized open crossover trial in type 1 diabetic subjects on basal-bolus therapy. *Diabetes Care* 2001; 24(2): 296-301.
- Haak T, Tiengo A, Draeger E, Suntum M, Waldhausl W. Lower within-subject variability of fasting blood glucose and reduced weight gain with insulin detemir compared to NPH insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obesity & Metabolism* 2005; 7(1): 56-64.
- Hermansen K, Fontaine P, Kukolja KK, Peterkova V, Leth G, Gall MA. Insulin analogues (insulin detemir and insulin aspart) versus traditional human insulins (NPH insulin and regular human insulin) in basal-bolus therapy for patients with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2004; 47(4): 622-629.
- Raslova K, Bogoev M, Raz I, Leth G, Gall MA, Hancu N. Insulin detemir and insulin aspart: A promising basal-bolus regimen for type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2004; 66(2): 193-201.
- Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. *Diabetes Care* 2004; 27(5): 1047-1053.
- Yang W, Lu J, Weng J, Jia W, Ji L, Xiao J et al. Prevalence of diabetes among men and women in China. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 362(12): 1090-1101.
- Mihardja L, Delima, Manz HS, Ghani L, Soegondo S. Prevalence and determinants of diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in Indonesia (a part of basic health research/riskesdas). *Acta Med Indones* 2009; 41(4): 169-174.
- World Medical Association. World Medical Association declaration of Helsinki - Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA* 2000; 284(23): 3043-3045.
- International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) adopts Guideline on Good Clinical Practice in the Conduct of Clinical Trials on Medicinal Products for Human Use *Int Dig Health Legis* 1997; 48(2): 231-234.
- Dornhorst A, Luddeke HJ, Honka M, Ackermann RW, Merilainen M, Gallwitz B et al. Safety and efficacy of insulin detemir basal-bolus therapy in type 1 diabetes patients: 14-week data from the European cohort of the PREDICTIVE study. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008; 24(2): 369-376.
- Dornhorst A, Luddeke HJ, Sreenan S, Kozlovski P, Hansen JB, Looij BJ et al. Insulin detemir improves glycaemic control without weight gain in insulin-naive patients with type 2 diabetes: subgroup analysis from the PREDICTIVE study. *Int J Clin Pract* 2008; 62(4): 659-665.
- Dornhorst A, Luddeke HJ, Koenen C, Merilainen M, King A, Robinson A et al. Transferring to insulin detemir from NPH insulin or insulin glargine in type 2 diabetes patients on basal-only therapy with oral antidiabetic drugs improves glycaemic control and reduces weight gain and risk of hypoglycaemia: 14-week follow-up data from PREDICTIVE. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2008; 10(1): 75-81.
- Meneghini LF, Rosenberg KH, Koenen C, Merilainen MJ, Luddeke HJ. Insulin detemir improves glycaemic control with less hypoglycaemia and no weight gain in patients with type 2 diabetes who were insulin naive or treated with NPH or insulin glargine: clinical practice experience from a German subgroup of the PREDICTIVE study. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2007; 9(3): 418-427.
- Fritsche A, Haring H. At last, a weight neutral insulin? *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2004; 28 Suppl 2: S41-S46.
- Hennige AM, Sartorius T, Tschritter O, Preissl H, Fritsche A, Ruth P et al. Tissue selectivity of insulin detemir action in vivo. *Diabetologia* 2006; 49(6): 1274-1282.
- Valensi P, Cosson E. Is insulin detemir able to favor a lower variability in the action of injected insulin in diabetic subjects? *Diabetes Metab* 2005; 31(4 Pt 2): 4S34-4S39.

Appendix

The following institutions and persons coordinated the Levemir Study Group: Study Chairman – Pradana Soewondo, Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Indonesia – Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital.

The following persons participated in the study (in alphabetical order): Abdul Ghoifir, Abdul Kadir, Abiran Nababan, Achmad Rudijanto, Agatha Maharani, Agung Nugroho, Agustina Parmayanti, Aida Mars, Aizil Rifai, Alwi Shahab, Amrizal Amir, An An, Andre Dirjayanto, Anton Cahaya Widjaja, Arles, Arthur L, Asrizal, Boedi Tedjodihardjo, Bowo, Budi Subagijo, Charles Yapiter, Dany Irawan, Davis Ajariwibowo, Deddy K. Kurniawan, Dede Budiman, Dewanto Tedjoproanto, Djoko Wahono Soeatmadi, Djonie Djunaedi, Eddy Setijoso, Eddy Supriadi, Eduard P. Taliwongso, Ety Aminah, F. Hadi Halim, Faried Sanusi, Febrilla Harmaini, Ferry Usnizar, Franky Kambey, Fuad Hamdun, Gatoet Ismanoe, Gatot Soegiarto, Ginding Seruniwati, Gunawan, H. Edwin Setiabudi, H. KUSDARMADI, H. Lukman L. Tobing, H. Setiawan, H. Ummie Wasitoh, Handi Sutanto, Hans Tandra, Harsinen Sanusi, Hasan Alim, Hasan Halilintar, Hertika Hardja, Ida Ayu Khsanti, IGP Suka Aryana, IN Dwi Sutanegara, Indah Nur Rachmani, Indra Politan, Ishak Dinata, Izwar, JBH Bowo, Jacobus Albertus, Jaowenny L. Lolo, Jimmy Palealu, Johannes Vincentius, Karel Arrahmanda, Kartariadi, Kuntio S. Herlambang, Lalu Ahmadi Jaya, M. Bukhar, M. Jasin Jachja, M. Noer Shoffi, M. Nur Aziz, M. Saugi Abduh, Made Astawa, Mardianto, Mary Elizabeth, Mery Harita, Myrna Martinus, Nandavati Kurnia, Nanny NM Soetedjo, Nono Mattarungan, OK Yulizal, Olly Indrayani, Olly Renaldi, Pandji Mulyono, Paulina, Prasna Pramita, Purnama Nugraha, Ratih Gunadi, Ratni Rahim, Ratna Saraswati, Rayendra, Reynold Malingkas, Ristua Butar Butar, Rizky Perdana, Robby R. Nurtani, Robert Arjuna, Robinson, Salli Rosefi, Sebastianus Jobul, Seson, Sofian, Sugiarto, Sulhani, Sumpena, Susie Setyowati, T. Ivone Wulansari, Tjendrawati Hermawan, Tri Prabowo, Triyanto Sutjahjo, Valentina Mandagi, Veronica Dhian, Waluyo Dwi Cahyono, Wendy Budiawan, Wilif Rizal, Yoseph Chandra, Yuanita Asri Langi, Yulianto Kusnadi, Yunus Tanggo