





## STARD 2015 Checklist of Essential Items for **Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy Studies**

Section and Topic	No.	ltem
TITLE OR ABSTRACT	1	Hartification and the of discussion and the state of the
	1	Identification as a study of diagnostic accuracy using at least one measure of accuracy
ABSTRACT		(such as sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, or AUC)
INTRODUCTION	2	Structured summary of study design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance, see STARD for Abstracts)
INTRODUCTION	3	Scientific and clinical background, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test
	4	Study objectives and hypotheses
METHODS Study design	5	Whether data collection was planned before the index test and reference standard were performed (prospective study)
otaa, aooigii	O	or after (retrospective study)
Participants	6	Eligibility criteria
	7	On what basis potentially eligible participants were identified (such as symptoms, results from previous tests, inclusion
		in registry)
	8	Where and when potentially eligible participants were identified (setting, location and dates)
	9	Whether participants formed a consecutive, random or convenience series
Test Methods	10a	Index test, in sufficient detail to allow replication
	10b	Reference standard, in sufficient detail to allow replication
	11	Rationale for choosing the reference standard (if alternatives exist)
	12a	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the index test, distinguishing pre-specified
		from exploratory
	12b	Definition of and rationale for test positivity cut-offs or result categories of the reference standard, distinguishing
		pre-specified from exploratory
	13a	Whether clinical information and reference standard results were available to the performers/readers of the index test
	13b	Whether clinical information and index test results were available to the assessors of the reference standard
Analysis	14	Methods for estimating or comparing measures of diagnostic accuracy
	15	How indeterminate index test or reference standard results were handled
	16	How missing data on the index test and reference standard were handled
	17	Any analyses of variability in diagnostic accuracy, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory
RESULTS	18	Intended sample size and how it was determined
Participants	19	Flow of participants, using a diagram
	20	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants
	21a	Distribution of severity of disease in those with the target condition
	21b	Distribution of alternative diagnoses in those without the target condition
	22	Time interval and any clinical interventions between index test and reference standard
Test Results	23	Cross tabulation of the index test results (or their distribution) by the results of the reference standard
	24	Estimates of diagnostic accuracy and their precision (such as 95% confidence intervals)
	25	Any adverse events from performing the index test or the reference standard
DISCUSSION	26	Study limitations, including sources of potential bias, statistical uncertainty, and generalisability
	27	Implications for practice, including the intended use and clinical role of the index test
OTHER INFORMATION		
	28	Registration number and name of registry
	29 30	Where the full study protocol can be accessed
	30	Sources of funding and other support; role of funders

This STARD list was released in 2015. The 30 items were identified by an international expert group of methodologists, researchers, and editors. The guiding principle in the development of STARD was to select items that, when reported, would help readers to judge the potential for bias in the study, to appraise the applicability of the study findings and the validity of conclusions and recommendations. The list represents an update of the first version, which was published in 2003.

More information can be found on http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/stard.

"The EQUATOR (Enhancing the QUAlity and Transparency Of health Research) Network is an international initiative that seeks to improve the reliability and value of published health research literature by promoting transparent and accurate reporting and wider use of robust reporting guidelines. It is the first coordinated attempt to tackle the problems of inadequate reporting systematically and on a global scale; it advances the work done by individual groups over the last 15 years..." lifted from the EQUATOR Network website.

For this issue of JAFES, selected checklists from the EQUATOR Network are featured for the main study types. The updated JAFES Instructions to Authors stipulate that manuscripts should ensure compliance with the appropriate EQUATOR Network Guideline to be considered for acceptance. The complete checklists and full guidelines are available at http://equator-network.org.